



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		ATTORNEY DOCKETT NO.
08/206405	3.7.94	Riggins		1/78/
			EXAMINER	
		·	EINSMANY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1105	$\mathcal L$
			DATE MAILED:	
examiner interview summary record				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Arthur Crawford (3) (2) Margar et Einsmann (4) Date of Interview 4-26-94				
(1) Arthur	Craw ford	(3)		
(2) Margar	et Einsm	9 NN (4)		
Date of Interview	4-26-94			
Type: Type: Typ				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No. If yes, brief description:				
Agreement was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. was not reached.				
Claims discussed:				
ld ntificati n of prior art discussed:				
Description of the genera	al nature of what was agr	eed to if an agreement was reached, or any other co	omments: Applel	caat is
Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant is considering copying claims from patent 5, 207, 803				
for interference. Hold off on prosecution until after				
(A fuller d'scription, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)				
□ 1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.				
Unless th paragraph below has been check d to indicate t th contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (.g., items 1-7 on the rev rs side of this form). If a r spons to the last Office actin has already been filed, then applicant is given in month from this intervitive date to privide a statement of the substance of the intervitive.				
requirements th	at may b pr s nt in the ements of the last Office	above (including any attachm nts) reflects a complete last Office action, and since the claims are now allowaction. Applicant is not relieved from prividing a se	wable, this completed for	rm is considered to fulfill the
		_ n	arguret Co	nsman